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INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) being a leguminous crop is a rich source of protein for human being and animal. Gram pod borer (Helicoverpa armigera) causes more than 75% of the yield losses in case of severe attack and ranks as a major pest of the crop. Insecticidal control is the mostly adopted technique to manage this insect worldwide. Keeping in view, a comparative study was carried out using Radiant® 120 SC (spinetoram), Belt® 480 SC (flubendamide), Runner® 240 SC (methoxyfenozide), Emamectin® 1.9 EC (emamectin benzoate) and Kosher® 50 EC (lufenuron) at their recommended doses against gram pod borer in chickpea field. Two foliar sprays were made at twenty days interval. Post treatment observations were made after 1, 3 and 7 days. The results revealed that all the insecticides caused significant reduction of the larval population and ultimately increased the grain yield in comparison with control. After seven days of application, Radiant gave highest mortality of 85.71% followed by Belt (79.99%), Emamectin (74.99%) and Kosher (62.49%) whereas Runner was least effective with mortality of 50%. Maximum yield was also obtained in plots treated with Radiant with grain yield of 1533.34 kg/ha compared to control (593.34 kg/ha).
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ABSTRACT

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) being a leguminous crop is a rich source of protein for human being and animal. Gram pod borer (Helicoverpa armigera) causes more than 75% of the yield losses in case of severe attack and ranks as a major pest of the crop. Insecticidal control is the mostly adopted technique to manage this insect worldwide. Keeping in view, a comparative study was carried out using Radiant® 120 SC (spinetoram), Belt® 480 SC (flubendamide), Runner® 240 SC (methoxyfenozide), Emamectin® 1.9 EC (emamectin benzoate) and Kosher® 50 EC (lufenuron) at their recommended doses against gram pod borer in chickpea field. Two foliar sprays were made at twenty days interval. Post treatment observations were made after 1, 3 and 7 days. The results revealed that all the insecticides caused significant reduction of the larval population and ultimately increased the grain yield in comparison with control. After seven days of application, Radiant gave highest mortality of 85.71% followed by Belt (79.99%), Emamectin (74.99%) and Kosher (62.49%) whereas Runner was least effective with mortality of 50%. Maximum yield was also obtained in plots treated with Radiant with grain yield of 1533.34 kg/ha compared to control (593.34 kg/ha).
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was conducted to appraise the efficacy of two bioinsecticides, Radiant 120 SC; Emamectin 1.9 EC; two insect growth regulators (IGRs): Runner 240 SC; Kosher 50 EC; and a new chemistry insecticide Belt 480 SC against pod borer's larvae in chickpea.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Field/Plot Details:** With a view to evaluate efficacy of some new insecticide against pod borer infestation on chickpea, an experiment was carried out in the experimental field of Nuclear Institute of Agriculture, Tando Jam (Sindh) Pakistan. The chickpea genotype D-075/09 was raised as per standard agronomic practices during Rabi 2015-16. The plot size was 4m × 1m (4m²), keeping the spacing of 30×10 cm between rows and plants, respectively.

**Experimental Design**
The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. There were six treatments including control (Table 1). All the treatments were administered in field as foliar spray applied manually with hydraulic knapsack sprayer. Two applications of selective product were sprayed at their recommended doses. There was an interval of 20 days, during vegetative and reproductive stage of the crop correspondingly. The control plots were sprayed with plain water. A distance of 100 cm between the plots and 150 cm between the replications was maintained as buffer zone.

**Data Collection**
Observations were started after 30 days of sowing in one meter length from each plot during vegetative stage so to determine the economic threshold level (one larva per meter per row) of chickpea pod borers for timely application of chemicals. Ten plants were selected at random from each treatment and the population of gram pod borer was observed to record number of larvae plant⁻¹ of chickpea. The sprays of particular treatment were applied when larval population was above the ETL to protect the crop from further heavy losses. Post treatment data on percentage mortality of caterpillars of pod borers was taken after 1, 3 and 7 days, for a comparison with pre treatment observation (24 hours before spray). The data of two sprays were pooled and average percent mortality was calculated. The mean percent reduction of pod borer’s population with respect to pre-treatment data was calculated by formula given by Abbott et al. (1925).

**Pod Damage %**
On maturity of crop, the percent pod damage was determined by counting total number of pod and number of damaged pods from randomly selected ten plants out of each treatment, using following formula:
Percent pod damage was measured as:
\[
\text{Pod damage} = \frac{\text{No. of damaged pods}}{\text{No. of total pods}} \times 100
\]

**Grain Yield**
The yield of grains per plot was recorded at harvesting including control and was converted into Kg/ha. Data on larval population of *Helicoverpa armigera* caterpillars, pod damage and yield corresponding to each treatment was subjected to statistical analysis after suitable transformation.

**Statistical Analysis**
The data collected during experimentation was subjected to analyzed statistically by using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated by least significance difference (LSD) test at 5% probability level using computer software STATISTIX Version 8.1.

**RESULTS**
The pre treatment observation taken 24 hours prior to spray showed that mean number of chickpea pod borer larvae were almost same among all plots (Table 2). Data regarding comparative efficacy of all chemicals against *H. armigera* were compared with control and one another. All the treatments were found superior over control by reducing the larval population significantly as presented in Table 2 and 3.

**After One Day of Treatments**
After one day (24 hours) of application, all spray materials gave a considerable reduction in population of chickpea pod borers larvae. Significant differences were observed among mean values for larval population in various treatments (Table 2). Among all treatments, Radiant treated plot showed maximum reduction in the larval population of pod borers followed by Belt with a mean of 1.33 and 1.00 larvae plant⁻¹ whereas Runner was found least effective with a mean of 1.66 larvae per plant and found least effective among all treatments. There were significant differences in a comparison among all chemicals and control plot with a mean population of 2.33 larvae plant⁻¹. Regarding percent mortality, Radiant and Belt showed 42.85% and 39.99% maximum larval mortality percentages, respectively after one day of application. Whereas, minimum percent larval mortality of 16.66% was recorded in plots treated with Runner after one day among all the chemicals (Table 3).

**After Three Days of Treatments**
After three days of two spray applications, again Radiant was found highly effective as compared to other treatments and control plot with 71.42% percent mortality (Table 3) ultimately a reduced number (1.00 larvae plant⁻¹) of *H. armigera* larvae were observed as shown in Table 2. The next effective treatment was Belt with 59.99% mortality percentage whereas Emamectin and Kosher were found at par with 49.98 percent mortality in comparison to control (Table 3).

**After seven days of Treatments**
A rising trend of mortality of the insect pest was observed after seven days of applications (Table 2). The results after one and three days have been confirmed by the results obtained after 7th day of application. Radiant has been observed as most effective treatment by giving lowest mean value of larval population per plant (0.33). Highly significant differences were observed in mean values of larval population among all treatments as compared to control (2.66) larvae.
plant’ (Table 2). Maximum percent mortality of 85.71 % was caused by Radiant followed by Belt (79.99%), Emamectin (74.99%) and Kosher (62.49%) as shown in Table 3.

**Pod Damage % and Grain Yield (kg ha⁻¹)**
The Radiant and Belt maintained their superiority throughout the experiment with lowest pod borer damage (4.62 and 5.25%) and enhanced yield grain of 1533.34 and 1386 (kg ha⁻¹) followed by Emamectin (6.61 % pod damage) and Kosher (9.67 % pod damage) with higher yield of 1256.66 and 1093 (kg ha⁻¹) as compared to control plot (593.34 kg ha⁻¹). Although Runner was found least effective with 11.60 % pod damage as compared to all other treatments but gave substantial yield of 1036 kg ha⁻¹ which is significantly different from control (Table 4).

### Table 1
Details of Treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tr No.</th>
<th>Insecticides</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Active ingredients</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Dose (ml acre⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>Radiant</td>
<td>120 SC</td>
<td>Spinetoram</td>
<td>Spinosyn</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>Belt</td>
<td>480 SC</td>
<td>Flubendamide</td>
<td>Diamides</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>Runner</td>
<td>240 SC</td>
<td>Methoxyfenozide</td>
<td>Diacylhydrazines</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>Kosher</td>
<td>50 EC</td>
<td>Lufenuron</td>
<td>Bezoylurea (IGR)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td>Emamectin</td>
<td>1.9 EC</td>
<td>Emamectin benzoate</td>
<td>Avermectin</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Plain Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Averaged larval population of Gram Pod Borer at different intervals after the application of insecticides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Pre-Treatments</th>
<th>After Spray</th>
<th>One Day</th>
<th>3 Days</th>
<th>07 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>Radiant</td>
<td>2.33 A</td>
<td>1.33 B</td>
<td>1.00 BC</td>
<td>0.33 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>Belt</td>
<td>1.66 A</td>
<td>1.00 B</td>
<td>0.33 C</td>
<td>0.33 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>Runner</td>
<td>2.00 A</td>
<td>1.66 AB</td>
<td>1.33 B</td>
<td>1.00 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>Emamectin</td>
<td>2.66 A</td>
<td>1.66 AB</td>
<td>1.33 B</td>
<td>0.66 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td>Kosher</td>
<td>2.66 A</td>
<td>1.33 B</td>
<td>1.33 B</td>
<td>1.00 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.33 A</td>
<td>2.33 A</td>
<td>3.00 A</td>
<td>2.66 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>1.0327</td>
<td>0.9197</td>
<td>0.8576</td>
<td>1.1976</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means sharing similar letters are not significantly different at p<0.05.

### Table 3
Percentage mortality of Gram Pod Borer caused by different insecticides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>One Day</th>
<th>3 Days</th>
<th>7 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>42.85</td>
<td>71.42</td>
<td>85.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>39.99</td>
<td>59.99</td>
<td>79.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>49.98</td>
<td>74.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td>37.34</td>
<td>49.98</td>
<td>62.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4
Pod Damage % and Grain Yield (kg ha⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Pod Damage %</th>
<th>Yields (Kgha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>Radiant</td>
<td>4.62 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>Belt</td>
<td>5.25 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>Runner</td>
<td>11.60 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>Emamectin</td>
<td>6.61 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td>Kosher</td>
<td>9.67 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>19.14 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means sharing similar letters are not significantly different at p<0.05.
DISCUSSION

In the present field study, Radiant (spinetoram) 12 SC was found the top most effective molecule against chickpea pod borers giving higher level of larval mortality with significant reduction in pod damage percentage and highest grain yield comparing to other treatments including control. Our results are in accordance to other authors. Our results are in agreement with Baber et al. (2017) who found that Radiant was the most potent bio-insecticide among some other new chemistry insecticides by giving maximum larval mortality of Helicoverpa up to seven days after treatment. Spinetoram is among the recently introduced molecule, an advance form of spinosad, more potent molecule especially against lepidopterous insect pests being a combination of spinosyns J. and L. spinosyns. After two hours of application larval movement and feeding is stopped by allosteric activation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. Various growth stages of insects are easily managed particularly in parallel generation by both contact and dietary toxicity. The properties like translaminar and systemic in nature give excellent and quick mortality of insect especially in short stature plants like vegetables and chickpea crops. The insect pests which exhibit resistance to conventional insecticides like H. armigera could be managed by such molecules like spinetoram (Shimokawatoko et al., 2012). Similarly, Rizvi and Saleem (2015) mentioned spinetoram (Delegate) 25 EC among the effective treatment to control the larvae of Helicoverpa armigera. The new chemistry insecticide, Belt (flubendamide) was also found effective on the basis of lower pod infestation with higher level of yield due to significant percent mortality of pest. These results verify the reports of earlier workers about toxicity of flubendamide against Helicoverpa armigera on different field crops (Ameta and Bunker 2007; Tatagar et al., 2009 Meena et al., 2013; Sreekanth et al., 2014; Karar et al., 2017). Flubendamide belongs to diamide group of insecticides having a mode of action by activating the ryanodine receptors (RyRs), depletion of internal Ca resulted due to uncontrolled release, general lethargy, muscle paralysis, regurgitation accompanied with rapid feeding cessation ultimately causing death within 72 hours (Carlson et al., 2001; Dow Agro Sciences, 2003; Teixeira and Andaloro, 2013). Emamectin and lufenuron gave considerable reduction in population of larvae with lower pod damage % and enhanced yield as compared to control plot. Iqbal, et al., 2014; Patil et al., 2007; Hakeem et al. 2017; and many other researchers proved the toxicity of emamectin and lufenuron against larvae of Helicoverpa armigera. Our results are corroborated by Kumar and Sarada (2015) in a study about comparative efficacy of some new chemistry molecules against Lepidopterous insects inculding Helicoverpa armigera. They concluded that flubendamide and emamectin treated plot proved pronounced decrease in larval population and in pod infestation resultantly enhanced yield of chickpea was recorded. Abbas et al. (2015) evaluated the effectiveness of nine different insecticides against Helicoverpa armigera in field and reported spinetoram (Delegate) 25 EC and flubendamide (Belt), emamectin benzoate 1.9EC and lufenuron 5 EC superior over control in terms of mortality of Helicoverpa armigera and yield of crop.

In our study, Runner (methoxyfenozide) was found least effective as compared to other treatments but its results are significantly different from control plot with a measurable reduction of 50% in larval population. Our finding was in the line to the toxicological studies of methoxyfenozide against Helicoverpa armigera Soliman and Shalaby (2011), Alavo et al (2011) and Saber et al., (2013) found this molecule effective against the said pest. The present results established that new generation insecticides like spinetoram and flubendamide were efficient against H. armigera. Although their recommended field doses are very low but found target specific by novel mode of action with low mammalian toxicity and least environmental impact. Such properties of these molecules provide a potential to combat the widespread insecticide resistance. Therefore, these chemicals maybe incorporated in IPM modules for the management of H. armigera in agricultural fields and be suggested to farmer.

Integration of such molecules with other control tactics needs a sound knowledge about local ecological conditions.
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